AN ASSESSMENT OF SYSTEMS FOR THE STRUCTURAL EVALUATION OF ROAD PAVEMENTS

CHONG KET PEN

UNIVERSITY OF BIRMINGHAM

MARCH 1990

AN ASSESSMENT OF SYSTEMS FOR THE STRUCTURAL EVALUATION OF ROAD PAVEMENTS

A thesis submitted to the University of Birmingham, for the degree of Master of Philosophy(Engineering) (Civil Engineering)

by

CHONG KET PEN B.E(HONS), M.I.E.M., M.I.C.E., P.ENG., C.ENG.

Faculty of Engineering School of Civil Engineering

March 1990

ABSTRACT

The Public Works Department (PWD) Malaysia has had extensive experience of using the Road Rater and the Falling Weight Deflectometer (FWD) for evaluating road pavements. The deflection data from these devices have been interpreted using different methods of analysis. In order to identify the most appropriate system for future use, the PWD embarked on a study to compare the performance of the Road Rater and the FWD and the available methods of analysing deflection data.

A comparative study between the two devices did not yield conclusive result. However, a further study of six alternative analysis programs showed that the FWD, though comparatively more expensive, was more effective in the non-destructive testing of road pavements.

The computer programs studied were Hogg's model, BISDEF, DEFMET, BAKANAL2, PHONIX and system PEACH. With System PEACH, a Simplified Elastic Analysis Method (SEAM) has been developed to improve on the available methods of pavement analysis. The resultant System PEACH, which consists of the computer program LAYCALC2, SEAM and a knowledge base, has been shown to be a reliable tool for assessing the structural condition of the road pavements when used with FWD data. Of the commercially available analysis packages investigated, the PHONIX program linked with FWD data, compared best with empirical tests.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

ABSTRACT

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

1.0 INTRODUCTION

- 1.1 Roads in Malaysia
- 1.2 Pavement Maintenance in Malaysia
- 1.3 Pavement Evaluation in Malaysia
- 1.4 Objectives of the Study

2.0 EVALUATION OF PAVEMENT PERFORMANCE

- 2.1 Introduction
- 2.2 Pavement Performance and Modes of Failure
- 2.3 Evaluation of Pavement Performance

3.0 METHODS OF NON-DESTRUCTIVE TESTING OF ROAD PAVEMENTS

- 3.1 Introduction
- 3.2 Development of the Methods of Measuring Surface Deflection
- 3.3 Non-destructive Testing Equipment used in the Study
 - 3.3.1 The Road Rater
 - 3.3.2 The Falling Weight Deflectometer
- 3.4 Comparison of Different Testing Techniques
- 3.5 Summary

4.0 METHODS OF PAVEMENT ANALYSIS

- 4.1 Introduction
- 4.2 Development of the Methods of Pavement Analysis
- 4.3 Pavement Models used in the Study
 - 4.3.1 Hogg's Model (2 layer model)
 - 4.3.2 Multi-layer Elastic Model

- 4.3.2.1 The Application of Boussinesq Theory to a Multi-layered System
- 4.3.2.2 Method of Equivalent Thickness (MET)
- 4.3.3 Finite Element Model
- 4.4 Linear and Non-linear Elastic Analysis
- 4.5 Multi-layer Elastic System
- 4.6 Summary

5.0 COMPUTER PROGRAMS USED IN THE STUDY

- 5.1 Introduction
- 5.2 Backward Analysis Programs using MET or Hogg's model
 - 5.2.1 The Phonix Design Program
 - 5.2.2 The Computer Program BAKANAL2
 - 5.2.3 Hogg's model Analysis
- 5.3 Backward Analysis Program using BISAR as a Sub-routine.
 - 5.3.1 The Computer Program BISDEF
- 5.4 Forward Analysis Programs
 - 5.4.1 The Computer Program DEFPAV
 - 5.4.2 The Computer Program LAYCALC2
- 5.5 Both Backward and Forward Analysis Programs (DEFMET)
- 5.6 Convergence of the Deflection Bowls
- 5.7 Summary

6.0 SYSTEM EVALUATION METHODOLOGY

- 6.1 Introduction
- 6.2 A Comparative Study between the Road Rater and the Falling Weight Deflectometer (FWD)
 - 6.2.1 Instrument Reliability
 - 6.2.2 Repeatability of Results
 - 6.2.3 Accuracy
 - 6.2.4 Efficiency
 - 6.2.5 Cost of Operation
 - 6.2.6 Data Compilation and Transfer Facilities

- 6.2.7 Weighting Factors used in the Comparison between the Road Rater and the FWD
- 6.2.8 Relationship between the Road Rater and the FWD
- 6.3 Evaluation of the Different Computer based Methods of Pavement analysis
 - 6.3.1 Stage 1 : Acceptance Tests for the Computer Programs
 - 6.3.2 Stage 2 : Validation of the Computer Programs
 - 6.3.3 Stage 3 : Selection of the Computer Programs
- 6.4 Final Evaluation

7.0 ASSESSMENT OF THE ROAD RATER AND THE FALLING WEIGHT DEFLECTOMETER

- 7.1 Introduction
- 7.2 Field Performance of the Road Rater and the FWD
 - 7.2.1 Reliability

7.2.1.1 Down time

7.2.1.2 Back-up Service

7.2.2 Repeatability

7.2.2.1 Repeatability of Road Rater Deflection

7.2.2.2 Repeatability of FWD Deflection

- 7.2.3 Accuracy
- 7.2.4 Efficiency
- 7.2.5 Cost of Operation
 - 7.2.5.1 Cost of Testing

7.2.5.2 Cost of Maintenance

- 7.2.5.3 Depreciation Cost
- 7.2.6 Data Compilation and Transfer Facilities
- 7.3 Weighting Factors used in the Comparison between the Road Rater and the FWD
- 7.4 Relationship between the Road Rater and the FWD
 - 7.4.1 Comparison of the Central Deflections
 - 7.4.2 Comparison of the Central Deflection Ratio with Thickness of Asphalt Surfacing.
- 7.5 Summary

8.0 DEVELOPMENT OF A COMPUTER BASED SYSTEM TO DEDUCE THE ELASTIC MODULI OF TYPICAL MALAYSIAN PAVEMENTS

8.1 Introduction

8.2 System PEACH for the FWD and the Road Rater

- 8.2.1 Background
- 8.2.2 Simplified Elastic Analysis Method (SEAM)
 - 8.2.2.1 Assumptions in SEAM
 - 8.2.2.2 Methodology of SEAM
 - 8.2.2.3 Discussion of the SEAM sub-routine
- 8.2.3 Operation of the System PEACH
- 8.2.4 Limitations of the System PEACH
- 8.2.5 Recommendation for Further Development of PEACH

9.0 ASSESSMENT OF THE DIFFERENT AVAILABLE COMPUTER PROGRAMS

- 9.1 Introduction
- 9.2 Stage One : Acceptance Tests for the Computer Programs
 - 9.2.1 Compliance with the Criteria for Acceptance
- 9.3 Stage Two : Validation of the Computer Programs
 - 9.3.1 Relationship between the Computed Subgrade Modulus (E_{sg}) and the DCP values
 - 9.3.2 Relationship between the Computed Sub-base Modulus (E₃) and the DCP values
 - 9.3.3 Relationship between the Computed Unbound base Modulus (E₂) and the DCP values
 - 9.3.4 Compliance with the Criteria for Validation
- 9.4 Stage Three : Selection of the Computer Programs
 - 9.4.1 Relationship between the Computed E₁ and the Mix Stiffness Determined from Empirical Tests
 - 9.4.2 Temperature Sensitivity of the Computed Moduli of Pavement Layers
 - 9.4.3 Comparison of the Programs and their Convenience of Use
- 9.5 Final Evaluation of the Composite System of Testing Machines and Computer Program

10.0 CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

10.1 Conclusions

10.1.1 Comparison of Testing Devices

10.1.2 Comparison of the Computer Programs

10.2 Recommendations for Further Work

LIST OF REFERENCES

APPENDICES

Appendix A: Other Non-destructive Methods of Measuring Surface Deflections

- Appendix B: Method of Equivalent Thickness and Conversion of Four Layer to Three Layer System
- Appendix C: The Computer Program MOHMA3 (Modified Hogg's Model Analysis -3 layer)

Appendix D : Implication of SEAM and Worked Examples

Appendix E: Test Sites and Results of Analysis

CHAPTER 1

INTRODUCTION

1.1 Roads in Malaysia

Malaysia, a developing country in South East Asia, has a population of seventeen million people. It comprises of Peninsular Malaysia and East Malaysia which are separated by the South China Sea. Peninsular Malaysia has twelve states with a land area of 134,680 sq. km. East Malaysia, which consists of Sabah and Sarawak, has a land area of 202,020 sq. km. The total length of roads maintained by the Public Works Department (PWD) in the country is approximately 40,000 kilometres, out of which 78% are paved while the rest are either gravel or earth roads (1). There are 443 km of completed tolled expressway mainly in Peninsular Malaysia, 12,165 km of Federal roads (20 % in East Malaysia), 26,516 km of State roads (31 % in East Malaysia), with the remainder made up of minor roads, which are largely unpaved (1). Figure 1.1 shows the road network in Malaysia.

The roads have been constructed in different terrains and on soil types ranging from coastal alluvium to residual soils inland. About 20% of the roads were constructed two or three decades ago without the benefit of an accepted national standard for highway construction. These roads mainly consist of a Telford base type of construction. Roads constructed in recent years have normally been designed broadly to either Road Note 29 (2) or the AASHTO method (3) and more recently based on the Technical Instruction 5/85 (4). The construction of these later roads was carried out by the PWD of Malaysia. The road pavements consist mainly of sand or laterite sub-base, graded crushed stone base and a bituminous surfacing of either surface dressing, semi-grout, bituminous macadam or asphaltic concrete. However, these road pavements have frequently been overlaid with asphaltic concrete over the years. Figure 1.2 shows the types of pavement structures commonly used in Malaysia.