



Asian Institute of Technology
Bangkok Thailand

thesis

No. GT-87-13

LABORATORY AND FIELD TESTING OF LIME COLUMN
TECHNIQUE IN BANGKOK SOFT CLAY

T
624.131-37
HAR

Haron Bin Ibrahim

LABORATORY AND FIELD TESTING OF LIME COLUMN
TECHNIQUE IN BANGKOK SOFT CLAY

by

HARON BIN IBRAHIM

A Thesis submitted in partial fulfillment
of the requirements for the degree of Master of Engineering

Examination Committee:

Prof. A. S. Balasubramaniam (Chairman)
Dr. P. Noppadol
Dr. Jiro Kuwano

Haron Ibrahim

Nationality : Malaysian
Previous Degree : B. Sc. (civil)
U. M. I. S. T. Manchester,
England.
Scholarship Donor : ASEAN - US

Asian Institute of Technology
Bangkok, Thailand
April, 1988

6T 84 13

ABSTRACTS

This experimental study covers primarily construction technique involved in the installation of lime columns and the evaluation of the load capacity in the A.I.T campus. Fourteen lime columns with an average lime content of 5, 10 and 15% were installed in the field with high Calcium hydrated Lime (Ca(OH)_2) as its stabilizer. Eight lime columns were load tested, with diameters of 0.15 m and 0.30 m. The lengths of the lime columns were 4, 6 and 8 m with curing period of 4, 6 and 8 weeks before load test were performed. The ultimate load ranges from 1.2 to 2.4 ton, with the ultimate load of 2.4 ton obtained from the 0.3 m, 15%, 8 m length column, cured over 8 weeks. This represented an increase of 2.4 times over the ultimate load of the untreated soil. The effect of length, curing period, diameter and lime content were also investigated.

Field vane shear and Dutch cone penetration tests were also performed to monitor the effect of the installation procedure and its relative effectiveness with lime stabilization. Laboratory test conducted on laboratory prepared samples and also those obtained from the field indicated the effectiveness of lime treatment which resulted in an increase in strength and lower compressibility with lime treatment.

TABLE of CONTENTS

Chapter	Tittle	Page
	Tittle Page	i
	Abstract	ii
	Acknowledgment	iii
	Table of Contents	iv
I	INTRODUCTION.	
	1.1 General	1
	1.2 Purpose and Scope of Study	1
II	LITERATURE REVIEW	
	2.1 Installation and Application of Lime Columns	2
	2.1.1 Introduction	
	(1) Chemico-Lime Column Method	2
	(2) Deep Mixing Method	3
	(3) Lime Column Method	3
	2.1.2 Application of Lime Column	3
	2.2 The Effects of Lime on Mixed Soils	
	- Column Material	5
	2.2.1 General	5
	2.2.2 Lime - Soil Reactions	5
	(1) Cation Exchange	5
	(2) Flocculation and Agglomeration	6
	(3) Lime Carbonation	6
	(4) Pozolanic Reaction	6
	2.2.3 Factors Affecting the Strength of Lime Column Material	7
	(1) Lime Content	7
	(2) Types of Lime	7
	(3) Curing Condition	8
	(4) Natural Soil Properties	8
	(5) Density	9
	2.3 Effects of Lime Columns on Surrounding Untreated Soils	9
	2.4 Bearing Capacity of Lime Columns	10
	2.5 Strength and Deformation Characteristics of Lime Columns	11
	2.5.1 Ultimate Strength of Lime Columns	11
	2.5.2 Stress - Strain Characteristics	13
	2.5.3 Shear Strength Parameter	15
III	EXPERIMENTAL INVESTIGATION	
	3.1 Introduction	16
	3.2 Location of Test Site	16
	3.3 General Physical Properties of Soft Clay	16

3.4.1	Introduction	16
3.4.2	Layout of Lime Columns	16
3.4.3	Material for Lime Columns	17
3.4.4	Equipment	17
3.4.5	Installation Procedures	18
3.4.6	Consumption of Lime Solution in the Column	18
3.4.7	Quality Control	18
3.4.8	Problems	19
	(1) Flow Meter	19
	(2) Interruption of work during installation	19
	(3) Plugging of the mixing blade	19
	(4) Pump	20
	(5) Wastage	20
3.4.9	Loading Test	20
	(1) Loading Devices	20
	(2) Settlement Measurement	20
3.4.10	Testing Procedure and Load Increment	20
3.4.11	Field Vane Shear Test	21
3.4.12	Dutch Cone Penetration Test	22
3.5	Laboratory Test	
3.5.1	Introduction	22
3.5.2	Unconfined Compressive Strength Test	22
	(1) Soil	22
	(2) Stabilizer	22
	(3) Water	22
	(4) Sample Preparation	23
3.5.3	Triaxial Compression Test	23
	(1) Sample Preparation	23
	(2) Setting up of Specimen	23
	(3) Saturation of Sample	24
	(4) Consolidation of Specimen	24
3.5.4	Consolidation Test	24

IV TEST RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

4.1	Introduction	25
4.2	Soil Profile and Soil Properties	25
4.3	Field Tests	25
4.3.1	Introduction	25
4.3.2	Loading Test Results	26
	(1) Variation of Ultimate Bearing Capacity with Length	26
	(2) Variation of Ultimate Bearing Capacity with Diameter	26
	(3) Variation of Ultimate Bearing Capacity with Lime Content	26
	(4) Variation of Ultimate Bearing Capacity with Curing Period	27
4.3.3	Field Vane Shear Test	27
4.3.4	Dutch Cone Penetration Test	28

4.4	Laboratory Tests	28
4.4.1	Introduction	28
4.4.2	Unconfined Compression Tests	28
	(1) Laboratory Samples	28
	(2) Field Samples	29
4.4.3	Triaxial Compression Test	30
	(1) Effective Stress Paths	30
	(2) Normalized Effective Stress Paths	31
	(3) Deviator Stress - Shear Strain Relationship	32
	(4) Excess Pore Pressure - Shear Strain Relationship	32
	(5) Pore Pressure Parameter A	32
	(6) Normalized Stress - Strain Behaviour	32
	(7) Shear Strength Characteristics	33
4.4.4	Oedometer Results	34
4.5	Comparison between Ultimate Bearing Capacity From Loading Test vs Estimated Bearing Capacity	35
4.5.1	Introduction	35
4.5.2	Estimated Bearing Capacity	35
4.5.3	Shear Strength based on Unconfined Compressive Strength Tests	36
	(1) Laboratory Prepared Samples	36
	(2) Field Samples	36
4.5.4	Shear Strength based on Dutch Cone Penetration Tests	37
4.5.5	Shear Strength based on Triaxial Compression Tests	37
4.6	Comparisons Between the Lime Column Technique and Granular Pile Installation	37
V	CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS	
5.1	Field Tests	39
5.2	Laboratory Tests	40
	5.2.1 Unconfined Compression Tests	40
	5.2.2 Triaxial Compression Tests (CIU)	40
	5.2.3 Oedometer Tests	41
5.3	Predicted Ultimate Bearing Capacity	41
5.4	Recommendations	41
VI	REFERENCES	42
	TABLES	46
	FIGURES	58

I INTRODUCTION

1.1 General

For construction of highways and approach roads on soft compressible clays, the traditional technique to overcome such engineering difficulties was to extend the load to a suitable bearing strata by means of deep foundations. An alternative was to redesign the structure and its foundations for support by the poor soil. These approaches may not be either feasible or economical. Soil stabilization techniques which are basically an insitu method of increasing the soils bearing capacity and reduction in settlement are highly preferable in this instances.

The lime column technique has thus been developed to reduce the settlement of mainly light structures such as road embankments, runways, small dam embankments, oil storage tanks and other lightly loaded structures.

The lime column technique for soil stabilization is relatively new in its presently developed form and as so far, the data available about the applications of lime column methods and the design procedure used for calculating bearing capacity and settlements are based on laboratory and field observation at sites in Sweden and Finland only. BROMS & BOMAN (1977a) therefore cautions to first ascertain the applicability for potential suitable soils in the areas where experience with this method is not available.