

A Unique challenge on fire protection in heritage building

A study on Fire Risk Index Method for Historic Timber Museums: Islamic Museum, Melaka & Stamp Museum, Melaka





A study done by DongMei Huang et al. (2010) shows that the causes of fire for historic timber building usually started by arson, human error, faulty equipment and natural disaster.

| No | Date | Building | Year of Built | Function | Estimate loss (RM) |
|----|---------------|---|-----------------------|------------|-----------------------|
| 1 | 17 Sept. 1992 | Muzium Negara M'sia, KL | 1959 | Museum | 100,000 |
| 2 | 1999 | Panggung Bandaraya, KL | Info not available | Museum | Info not available |
| 3 | 2 Dec. 2001 | Muzium Rakyat. Kecantikan dan Layang- layang , Melaka | Info not available | Museum | Info not available |
| 4 | 20 Oct. 2003 | Rumah Pak Ali, Kg. Kerdas, Gombak | 1876 | Museum | >1 millions |
| 5 | 27 June 2005 | 23 Shop houses pre-war, Meru Klang | 1920-1930 | Shop house | 5 millions |
| 6 | 27 June 2005 | 13 Shop houses pre-war kg. Sentosa off, Jln. Klang Laman, KL | 1920-1930 | Shop house | >500,000 |
| 7 | 17 July 2006 | Shop houses, Jln. Laksamana, Bandar Hilir, Melaka | >1806 | Shop house | Info not available |
| 8 | 27 July 2007 | Sarawak Club, Kuching | 1876 | Club house | Info not available |
| 9 | 24 July 2007 | Kelab Sukarelawan Polis Diraja M'sia, Ipoh | 1910 | Club house | Info not available |
| 10 | 30 Sept. 2007 | PULAPOL Senior Police Quarters, Jln. Semarak, KL | 1940 | Quarters | Info not available |

Statistics from the table has shown that from 1992 to 2007 fire has damaged and destroyed many heritage buildings in Malaysia with a total loss of approximately up to RM5 million (Salleh, 2007).



Frequent fires in George Town heritage buildings call for better safety awareness



Looi Sue-Chern Updated 5 months ago · Published on 13 Sep 2017 10:04PM ·



Fire destroys three heritage shophouse on Lebuh Penang in George Town yesterday morning. – The Malaysian Insight pic, September 13, 2017.

HERITAGE shophouses in Penang's George Town are vulnerable to fire, a risk that is heightened by the building owners' attitude towards, in matters of fire safety.



A pre-war shophouse on Lebuh Cintra in the Unesco heritage city of George Town is lost to fire today. – The Malaysian Insight pic, September 13, 2017.

All buildings, including heritage ones, are subject to the Fire Safety Act 1998. Works on buildings are subject to the local council's approval and must abide by the Street, Drainage and Building Act, Uniform Building By-law, and the George Town Special Area Plan, which also provide guidelines for fire safety and fire prevention requirements.

Ang said while having sufficient facilities like fire extinguishers and running drills was important, collective effort from the local communities was also crucial in a fire response.

"People who live or work in heritage buildings should also create evacuation routes for themselves in case of emergencies," she said.

She admitted that public engagements to educate stakeholders on the benefits and importance of fire prevention had also been challenging.

| | Sisipan 👽 🛛 Pilihan Raya Kecil | |
|----------------------------------|--------------------------------|---|
| Muzium 200 tahun musnah terbakar | | Custom Sear |
| 3 SEPTEMBER 2018 | _ | |
| | | Bisu' selepas dinod lapan jam |
| | 2 | Padah ganggu saraı dipatuk Ular Belala |
| | 3 | lkan patin buah 15 penduduk |
| | | Bekas MB Johor ut |
| | 4 | Muhyiddin |

Rakaman insiden itu yang disiarkan stesen TV tempatan menunjukkan anggota bomba cuba mengawal api marak di institusi saintifik tertua di Brazil itu yang menyimpan lebih 20 juta koleksi sejarah berharga.

Difahamkan, punca kebakaran itu masih disiasat.

Presiden Michel Temer menerus Twitter menyifatkan ia adalah 'hari yang sedih untuk rakyat negara itu kerana nilai sejarah Brazil tidak dapat diukur dengan kemusnahan bangunan tersebut.

Sementera itu, pengarah muzium tersebut berkata, ia adalah 'tragedi kebudayaan' memandangkan premis itu menempatkan ribuan barangan sejarah Brazil dan negara lain termasuk artifak Mesir.


Antara koleksi penting mereka termasuk rangka dinosaur dan rangka manusia berusia 12,000 tahun yang diiktiraf sebagai tertua pernah ditemui di Amerika. -BBC




• In terms of fire safety approach, historic building requires a relatively more sensitive approach compared to a new building







KIDD, STEWART. (2005). FIRE SAFETY MANAGEMENT IN HERITAGE BUILDINGS. EDINBURGH: HISTORIC SCOTLAND.



KIDD, STEWART. (2005). FIRE SAFETY MANAGEMENT IN HERITAGE BUILDINGS. EDINBURGH: HISTORIC SCOTLAND.






















KIDD, STEWART. (2005). FIRE SAFETY MANAGEMENT IN HERITAGE BUILDINGS. EDINBURGH: HISTORIC SCOTLAND.

Steps in fire safety planning and management for historic building



Prevention

Preparation

Response

Recovery

Fire Safety Management Plan Source: (New Zealand Fire Service Commission, 2005)

Fire risk assessment method



Ranking Methods

| 1 | Risk Value Method |
|---|--|
| 2 | Fire Safety Evaluation System (FSES) |
| 3 | Specific Commercial Property Evaluation Schedule (CPES) |
| 4 | Dow Fire and Explosion Index |
| 5 | XPS Fire |
| 6 | Hierarchical Approach |
| 7 | SIA 81 - Gretener Approach |
| 8 | Fire Risk Assessment Method for Engineering (FRAME) |
| 9 | The Fire Risk Index Method (FRIM) |

VS

Quantitative Methods

| 1 | Computation of Risk Indices by Simulation Procedure (CRISP) |
|---|--|
| 2 | Risk-cost Assessment Model (FiRECAM- Fire Risk Evaluation and Cost Assessment Model) |
| 3 | The Building Fire Safety Engineering Method (BFSEM) |
| 4 | Fore Evaluation and Risk Assessment System (FEIREA system) |
| 5 | Petri net to Fire Safety Measures |
| 6 | Event Tree Analysis as a Risk Analysis Method (ETA) |
| 7 | Fire Risk Assessment with Reliability index $\boldsymbol{\beta}$ |

Application of Ranking Method

| Method | Meet the criteria | Negative features | Positive features |
|---------------------------------|--|--|---|
| Risk Value Method | No: Does not meet the selected protection step | | |
| FSES | Yes | Is not aimed at property, but at life safety | |
| CPES | Yes | | Cost of insurance |
| Dow Fire and Explosion Index | Yes | Cultural heritage is out of scope | |
| XPS FIRE | Yes | Owned by Munich Re | |
| Hierarchical Approach | Yes | Workforce requirement: Delphi group | |
| SIA 81 (Gretener) | Yes | | Insurance premium related |
| FRAME | Yes | | Life safety and business risk included, insurance premium related, arson clue |
| FRIM | Yes | | Easy to handle |

Source: (Vandevelde, P., 2006)


Application of Quantitative Method

| Method | Meet the criteria | Negative features | Positive features |
|--|-------------------|---|---|
| CRISP | Yes | Aimed at life safety | |
| FiRECAM | Yes | For office buildings, specialist are needed for correct fire models | |
| BFSEM | | | |
| FIEREA system | Yes | Use for light industrial buildings | |
| Petri net for Fire Safety Analysis | | Aimed at life safety, high workforce requirement | |
| ΕΤΑ | Yes | | Life safety, damage area, cost benefit analysis included |
| Reliability Index | Yes | Complex and time consuming | |

Source: (Vandevelde, P., 2006)

Fire risk methods suitable for the research

| No | Recommended tools | Positive feature | Negative Feature |
|----|-------------------|---|---|
| 1 | FRAME | Life safety and business risk included, insurance premium related, arson clue | Complex and requires lots of data |
| 2 | FRIM | Easy to handle | |
| 3 | ETA | Life safety, damage area, cost benefit analysis included | Requires numeric data collection |



- Divided into 17 parameters
- Each parameter is given a grade according to the grading schemes provided
- A Delphi panel has given each parameter a weight
- A high-risk index for buildings represent a high level of fire safety and a low-risk index represents a low level of fire safety
- The theoretical value is from 0.0 to 5.0

DELPHI PANEL - PANEL OF EXPERTS TO ACHIEVE CONSENSUS IN SOLVING A PROBLEM, DECIDING THE MOST APPROPRIATE COURSE OF ACTION, OR ESTABLISHING CAUSATION WHERE NONE.

| No | FRIM Parameter | Amended Parameter | Historic building |
|----|--|-------------------|---|
| P1 | Lining in apartment Def: possibility of internal linings in a room to delay the ignition of structure and to reduce fire growth | Lining in rooms | Apartment changed to room to suit the building typology |
| P2 | Suppression system Def: Equipment and systems for suppression of fires | | In accordance to Part VIII in UBBL 1984 |
| P3 | Fire service Def: Possibility of fire services to save live and to prevent further fire spread | | In accordance to Part VII in UBBL 1984 |
| P4 | Compartmentation Def: Extent to which building space is divided in fire compartments | | In accordance to Part VII in UBBL 1984 |
| P5 | Structure- separating Def: Fire resistance of building assemblies separating fire compartments | | In accordance to Part VII in UBBL 1984 |
| P6 | Doors Def: Fire and smoke separating function of doors between fire compartments | | In accordance to Part VII in UBBL 1984 |
| P7 | Windows Def: windows and protection of windows, e.g factors affecting the possibility of fire spread through the openings | | In accordance to Part VII in UBBL 1984 |

| No | FRIM Parameter | Amended Parameter | Historic building |
|-----|---|-------------------|--|
| P8 | Facade Def: façade material and factors affecting the possibility of fire spread along the facade | | |
| P9 | Attic Def: Prevention of fire spread to and in roof space | Roof Space | Attic changed to roof space to suit the building typology in Malaysia |
| P10 | Adjacent buildings Def: Minimum separation distance from other buildings | | In accordance to Part VII in UBBL 1984 |
| P11 | Smoke control system Def: Equipment and systems for limiting spread of toxic fire products | | In accordance to Part VIII in UBBL 1984 |
| P12 | Detection system Def: Equipment and systems for detecting fire | | In accordance to Part VIII in UBBL 1984 |
| P13 | Signal system Def: Equipment and systems for transmitting an alarm of fire | | In accordance to Part VIII in UBBL 1984 |
| P14 | Escape routes Def: Adequacy and reliability of escape route | | In accordance to Part VII in UBBL 1984 |

| No | FRIM Parameter | Amended Parameter | Historic building |
|-----|--|-------------------|--|
| P15 | Structure- load bearing Def: Structural stability of the building when exposed to a fire | | |
| P16 | Maintenance and information Def: Inspection and maintenance of fire safety equipment, escape route etc. and information to occupants in suppression and evacuation | | |
| P17 | Ventilation system Def: Extent to which the spread of smoke through the ventilation system is prevented | | In accordance to Part VIII in UBBL 1984 |

Fire risk index method (case study)



Melaka Islamic Museum at Jalan Kota is just a few minutes walk from The Stadthuys. This historic timber-building museum was built in the 1850s in the English Colonial Era. The building was designed with English Colonial influence, mixed with Malay vernacular architecture and used timber as the main material for the floor, wall, doors, windows and roof structure.

The museum is a two-storey historic building with a total gross floor area of 760 m2. The museum is located on a hill slope with a grand staircase as the main entrance to the building from the street. The internal space is divided into eight exhibition areas, one library and a store room.

Melaka Stamp Museum at Jalan Kota is situated within the Melaka Historical City and just a few minutes walk from The Islamic Museum.Melaka Stamp Museum, also known as Photo Shop or "Sekolah Gambar", is housed in an old Dutch building. The building previously housed the Old Melaka Museum and was originally used as the residence for Dutch dignitaries living in Malacca. This building was used as a residence until it was completely abandoned after the Second World War.

Built during the Dutch period, the Department of Museum and Antiquity have gazette it as an Old Monument according to Section 15 of the Antiquities Act 1976. This building has the shape and characteristics of western architecture but have the roofs, doors and windows are distinctly local. The building was constructed using local materials, such timber, clay roof tiles and ceramic floor tiles.

The building was restored by the Department of Museum and Antiquity in 2004 and it was handed over to the Melaka State Government. In 2007, the Melaka State Government with the cooperation from Post Malaysia decided to set up the Melaka Stamp Museum in this building. The total floor area for the museum is 659 m2. The museum is a 2 storey building with a courtyard and have a verandah facing the courtyard. There is only one entrance into the museum compound and the museum is attached with 'Muzium Rakyat'. The ground floor has a souvenir store and 2 exhibition rooms. The drawing and stamp storage room is located at an annexed building.


Fire risk index method (case study)



| No | Criteria | ISLAMIC MUSEUM | STAMP MUSEUM |
|----|------------------|--------------------|--------------------|
| 1 | Structures | Timber and Masonry | Timber and Masonry |
| 2 | Typology | Museum | Museum |
| 3 | Caretaker | PERZIM | PERZIM |
| 4 | Location | Melaka | Melaka |
| 5 | Number of floors | 2 storey | 2 storey |
| 6 | Gross Floor Area | 760 m ² | 659 m ² |

Islamic Museum – Floor Plan





Islamic Museum - Exterior









Islamic Museum - Exterior










Stamp museum – floor pIAN



Stamp museum - Exterior









Stamp museum - interior









findings

| No | Parameter | weight | Islamic Museum | Stamp Museum | Findings |
|----|--------------------------|--------|-------------------|-----------------|---|
| 1 | Lining in rooms | 0.0576 | 0.288 | 0.288 | Both have similar lining material |
| 2 | Suppression system | 0.0668 | 0.000 | 0.000 | Both do not have sprinkler system |
| 3 | Fire service | 0.0681 | 0.215 | 0.215 | Both building located near to the fire station |
| 4 | Compartmentation | 0.0666 | 0.133 | 0.000 | The interior of Islamic Museum is compartmentalized into 8 rooms |
| 5 | Structure- separating | 0.0675 | 0.189 | 0.000 | Stamp Museum has an open internal layout allowing fire to spread |
| 6 | Doors | 0.0698 | 0.210 | 0.302 | Stamp Museum has a self closing fire rated door as compared to Islamic Museum |
| 7 | Windows | 0.0473 | 0.142 | 0.142 | Both buildings have a similar huge wooden frame windows |
| 8 | Facade | 0.0492 | 0.000 | 0.112 | Islamic Museum has more combustible material as a façade of the building as compared to Stamp Museum |

findings

| No | Parameter | weight | Islamic Museum | Stamp Museum | Findings |
|----|-----------------------------|--------|-------------------|-----------------|---|
| 9 | Roof space | 0.0515 | 0.000 | 0.000 | Both buildings do not provide any fire suppression system in roof space area |
| 10 | Adjacent building | 0.0396 | 0.000 | 0.000 | Both buildings do not have any buffer zone @ setback |
| 11 | Smoke control system | 0.0609 | 0.000 | 0.000 | Both buildings use natural ventilation |
| 12 | Detection system | 0.0630 | 0.000 | 0.315 | Stamp Museum is equipped with smoke detector as compared to Islamic Museum |
| 13 | Signal System | 0.0512 | 0.000 | 0.205 | Stamp Museum is equipped with automated signal system as compared to Islamic Museum |
| 14 | Escape routes | 0.0620 | 0.283 | 0.283 | Both buildings provide adequate escape routes |
| 15 | Structure - load bearing | 0.0630 | 0.233 | 0.233 | Both buildings have similar structural system |

findings

| No | Parameter | weight | Islamic Museum | Stamp Museum | Findings |
|----|-----------------------------|--------|-------------------|-----------------|---|
| 16 | Maintenance and information | 0.0601 | 0.016 | 0.016 | Both museum have a poor fire information system in the building |
| 17 | Ventilation system | 0.0558 | 0.000 | 0.000 | Both building using natural ventilation |
| | SCORE | 1.0000 | 1.709 | 2.111 | |
| | Risk Index (=5- score) | | 3.291 | 2.889 | |

Stamp Museum has a lower fire risk as compared to Islamic Museum

Conclusion & Recommendations

- Based on the findings from the case study, there are substantial fire risks in historic timber building museums in Malaysia.
- Fire risk assessment helps to identify potential risks and underline parameters for Fire Safety Management Plan for the use of caretakers, in this case PERZIM.
- Fire risk assessment should be introduced in dilapidation reports or building planning approval for conservation projects.
- FRIM assessment method is suitable for historic timber building museum.
- FRIM can be used by the Authority for fire safety guidelines and checklist.
- FRIM is suitable for conservators and professionals to evaluate their design proposals for conservation projects.
- FRIM is suitable for academicians for their researches in historic buildings.