# Sustainable Campus Development in a Challenging Construction Environment

**Presented by** 

Jim Sawers P.Eng., LEED<sup>®</sup> AP Director of Campus Engineering University of Calgary



### PAQS 12<sup>th</sup> Congress June 16-18, 2008 – Edmonton





## **Presentation Outline**

- The University of Calgary
  - The Campus & Utilities
  - Sustainability
    - Sharpening our Focus
    - Impact of Buildings
    - UofC Plan
  - Major Capital Plan
- "Real World" Issues
  - Central Plant Limitations
  - "Edifice" Complex
  - GHG Footprint
  - Electrical Deregulation
  - The Economy & Construction Escalation

## • The Outcome

- The Good News
- The "Other" News
- Lessons Learned





## The University of Calgary – Overview

- 40th anniversary in 2006
- ~710 acres
- 8.5 million square feet
- ~115 structures on 8 sites
- 5,000+ faculty & staff









## The Campus and Downtown Calgary



## Enrollment: Full Time Equivalent Students



## The University of Calgary - Utilities

## Central Heating & Cooling Plant (CHCP)

- 22 MW peak electric demand
- 210 MMBTU/hr peak thermal demand
- 4 gas boilers, 325 MMBTU/hr
- 4 chillers, 9000 tons
- 8km 'walk-in' utility tunnels





## **Annual Energy Use**





Sharpening our focus on global warming & sustainability The bad news is you've got advancedstage humans. The good news is they've just about run their course and you should be on the mend soon.



# **IPCC Clear on Human Contribution**



## Limits to Growth Analogy



If amoeba double every minute and we put them in a bottle (limited resource) that will take them 10 hours to fill, how long does it take to use up 3% of the bottle?

- A. 18 minutes (3% of 10hrs)
- B. About 1 hour
- C. 5 hours and 30 minutes
- D. About 8 hours
- E. 9 hours and 55 minutes

## Limits to Growth Analogy

Time	Percentage of bottle used up		
10:00	100 percent		2
9:59	50 percent		112
9:58	25 percent		24/17
9:57	12 percent		
9:56	6 percent		1000
9:55	3 percent	Answer	1. N.





If the amoeba find three more bottles then they increase their resource to 400%. How much additional time does this buy them?



## Limits to Growth

"Our environmental appetite has potential to devastate civilization as we know it"

- Easter Island
- Sumer
- Rome
- •Maya



"Civilizations often fall quite suddenly – a House of Cards effect.."

> "Now is our last chance to get the future right"



## Environmental Impact of Buildings\*

- 65.2% of total U.S. electricity consumption
- > 36% of total U.S. primary energy use
- 30% of total U.S. greenhouse gas emissions
- 136 million tons of construction and demolition waste in the U.S. (approx. 2.8 lbs/person/day)
- 12% of potable water in the U.S.
- 40% (3 billion tons annually) of raw materials use globally

\* Commercial and residential



## **The Impact of Buildings**







#### Understanding Human Behaviour, Institutions and Cultures

- Public Policy Studies
- Strategic and International Studies
- . Language Learning and Literacy
- . Creativity and Innovation in the Arts



**Advancing Health and Wellness** Life and Medical Sciences,

 Health, Weilness and Human Performance Social Dimensions and Determinants of Health



### Leading Innovation in Energy and the Environment

d GeoScience

- Hydrocarbon Recovery
- Alternative Energy
- Energy and Environmental Systems and Modeling.
- Business, Legal and Policy Aspects of Energy and the Environment

### CORE PRINCIPLES

Enhancing the Learning Experience Enhancing Research, Discovery and Creativity Promotion of Multidisciplinary Inquiry **Return to Community** 

#### Creating Technologies and Managing Information for the Knowledge Society

- Quantum Information and Cryptography
  - Wireless Communication, Location and Microelectronics Social Contexts for Technology

## **PROMINENCE & PROMISE** ACADEMIC PRIORITIES 2003/07



www.ucalgary.ca

## Cost Benefit for Improved Indoor Environmental Quality Carnegie Mellon University Center for Building Performance

### Learning & Productivity gains:

- Lighting controls 7.1%
- Ventilation controls 1.8%
- Thermal controls 1.2%





## Early Energy & Sustainability Initiatives

- 1995 Environmental Policy adopted
- 1996 Environmental Management Committee formed First action plan to GHG Voluntary Challenge & Registry
- 1998 Phase 1 of Energy Performance Contract
- 1999 Consultant/benchmarking/guidelines/targets
- 2000 First annual environmental report
- 2001 UofC ecological footprint established

http://www.ucalgary.ca/sustainability







# Early Energy & Sustainability Initiatives



Rozsa Centre 2000 Displacement Ventilation



ICT 2001 In-Slab Radiant Cooling Natural Ventilation



## Project evolve



- Energy Sustainability & Building Technologies RFP
- 2 short listed proponents
- In place 2005
- •35% energy savings in 5 years, incl 8MW cogeneration
  •Re-investment in energy & environment



## **Campus Sustainability**

2005 - Launch of U-Bike free campus bicycling program Launch of used cell phone and rechargeable battery recycling program President commits to highest LEED Certification for all new projects **Completion of Phase I energy retrofits** 2006 - Environment, Health, Safety and Sustainability Committee formed Conclusion of one-year biodiesel pilot project Creation of Sustainability Stewardship Working Group Fumehood awareness program initiated Bulb Eater purchased 2007 - Appointment of a Director of Sustainability Establishment of an Office of Sustainability

Sustainability Gap Analysis - Business Plan





## **The Sustainability Portfolios**



Land Planning & New Buildings



**Existing Buildings** 



Water Management



**Energy & Atmosphere** 



**Operations & Maintenance** 



**Transportation & Mobility** 



Participation, Collaboration & Communication

### Breadth of Involvement



Solid Waste Management



Governance & Sr. Administration



Curriculum & Research



Health, Safety & Wellness



Procurement



**Student Club Forum** 

### Sustainability Innovator Award

Received one of four Sustainability Innovator Awards by the 2008 College Sustainability Report Card. Sustainable Endowments Institute.



## Why Does U of C Have a Platinum Objective?

- 1. The University of Calgary, as a publicly funded institution, has a pivotal role to play in providing leadership in sustainability.
- 2. Investments in high performance LEED buildings provide:
  - Leading edge research and teaching opportunities for our faculty and students.
  - Reduced long term operating costs.
  - Improved indoor environments which dramatically enhance learning and productivity.



## **Major Capital Project Plan**

- LEED<sup>®</sup> Platinum commitment
- "Design Matters" policy
  - Signature architects





## **Projected Thermal Loads**



## **Equipment Life Cycle**

Boiler #	Installed	Age
1	1966	40
2	1966	40
3	1966	40
4	1970	36



Chiller #	Installed	Age
1	1998	8
2	1998	8
3	1990	16
4	1972	34



## "Edifice" Complex

- Space shortage
- Insufficient O&M funding
- Deteriorating FCIs
- Poor student satisfaction



- Limited terms for senior administration
- Separation of capital and O&M\$

# =>BUILD NEW BUILDINGS !



## **GHG Footprint - % Change**



## **Electrical Deregulation**

- Electric Utilities Act effective Jan1, 2001
  - Deregulated
    - Generation (3 producers generate 90%)
    - Selling to consumers
  - Remains Regulated
    - Transmission & distribution
  - Alberta Electrical System Operator (AESO)
    - Acts as "power pool" between generators & retailers
    - Oversees operation of transmission system







## **Electrical Deregulation**



## **Economy & Construction Activity**

# Alberta Construction Volume

### (Statistics Canada)



## **Construction Costs & Escalation**

Annual Construction Cost Index (Calgary Construction Asociation)



## Child Research Development Centre a LEED® Platinum Building

- Occupancy sensors
- •CO2 sensors
- Daylight sensors
- Underfloor air supply
- High-performance building envelope
- Storm water management
- Water efficient landscaping
- Photovoltaic array
- Energy consumption -60%
- All low-emitting materials
- Use of regional materials
- LEED tenant guidelines
- Green housekeeping
- Water reuse

### BENEFITS

- •\$50,000 annual energy savings expected
- 59% Potable water conservation
- Fine tune building for optimized performance
- •Living laboratory





## **Child Development & Research Centre**

### Water Use Sustainable Features

## Water conservation & reuse



13 litre

Sinale

Flush

Uses

94.900

litres

11 litre

Sinale

Flush

Uses

80,300

litres

Der ves

7.5 litre

Sinale

Flush

Uses

54,750

litres

per vea

### Fixtures;

Dual flush low flow toilets Waterless urinal Low flow faucets/showers Infra-red sensors







6 litre

Sinale

Flush

Uses

43,800

litres

ber vec

9/4.5 litre

Dual

Flush

Uses

39,420

itres

Der ves

6/3 litre

Dual

Flush

Uses

26,280

litres

per vea

**Re-Use & Re-Cycling**; Non-potable water used in toilets





Urine

## **CRDC** Costs

LEED<sup>®</sup> Platinum 58 points achieved Base building cost \$23,000,000

## LEED<sup>®</sup> Platinum premiums:

- Access flooring
- PV panels
- High fly ash
- Recycle bins
- Certified wood
- Water reuse
- Commissioning
- M & V
- Mechanical misc. TOTAL

\$745,000 \$285,000 \$43,000 \$12,000 \$42,000 \$42,000 \$60,000 \$158,000 \$158,000 \$150,000 \$150,000 \$1,595,000

Level of Green Standard	Average Green Cost Premium
Level 1 - Certified	0.66%
Level 2 – Silver	2.11%
Level 3 – Gold	1.82%
Level 4 – Platinum	6.50%
Average of 33 Buildings	1.84%

Figure III-1. Level of Green Standard and Average Green Cost Premium

Source: USGBC, Capital E Analysis

7% (6%)



## evolve "Evolves"

- Building Audits
  - Lighting retrofits/Bulb eater
  - HVAC retrofits
  - Controls retrofits
- Energy metering/M&V
- Lights-Out program
- Reporting tool
  - Energy & GHG reduction
  - Capital cost & savings



• 250,000 tubes, 38kg of mercury• 10,000 tubes per year

## evolve Energy Management Plan

Energy Initiatives capital cost \$14.8M (\$2.9M) Annual savings \$3.1M per year (\$0.9M) Simple pay-back 4.8 years (3.2yr) WILL SAVE 24,000 Megawatt Hours of Electricity per year 99,000 Giga Joules of Natural Gas per year 33,000 Cubic Meters of Domestic Cold Water per year Reduce Green House Gas (GHG) Emissions by 28,000 Tonnes per year **EQUIVALENT TO** Removing 5,500 cars from the roads annually Conserving 11,600,000 liters of gasoline yearly Planting 6,000,000 trees on 14,000 acres of land







# **CHCP** Expansion

- "Combined Heat & Power," or CHP
- Heat produced from the electricity generating process is captured & used to heat buildings



## Why Cogeneration? Comparative Efficiency



## **Noise Control**



## **Emissions**

**Maximum Modeled Ground Level Concentrations** 









# **Building Design**



## **GHG Reduction Projections**



# **Major Capital Project Challenges**

PROJECT	BUDGET	INITIAL COST	CURRENT COST
Digital Library (33,460m <sup>2</sup> )	\$137.5	\$266.1	\$205.7
Residence Hall (7,710m <sup>2</sup> )	\$20.5	\$26.1	\$31.9
Vet Med (7,739m <sup>2</sup> )	\$39.3	\$49.7	\$45.8



## **Major Capital Project Casualties**

## "Re-Thinking"

- Signature architecture
  - 3 of 3 withdrawn from projects
- Projects/programs merged
- All project programs reduced substantially
- Sustainability features
   cut back





## Platinum: From Concept to Practice Challenges

Different building types:

- Laboratories Office Housing Animal Experience:
  - Consultants Campus Planning/Campus
     Infrastructure
- **Construction market conditions:** 
  - Escalation Escalation Escalation
- A larger priority:
  - Sustainable Campus Sustainable Buildings



# **Platinum Objective**

## LEED<sup>®</sup> Platinum

- CRDC achieved
- Approach revised to best effort/Silver
- Energy use target @ 2030 Challenge levels
- Doing the right thing for each project.
- Doing the right thing for the larger campus.
- Doing the right thing for research and teaching opportunities.

## Sometimes Silver, Sometimes Gold



## Lessons Learned - Project Management

- More rigorous initial project budget
  - QS now on each project with construction manager
- Match aspirations to budget
  - Signature architecture
  - LEED<sup>®</sup> Platinum
- Strategic partnerships are not "silver bullets"
- Select experienced team, internal & external
- Must "sell" infrastructure upgrade needs
- Maintain realistic contingencies
- Be realistic with escalation
- Build flexibility into design
- Keep a 'bad news' option in mind for every project



## Lessons Learned – Goals

## 2030 Challenge (fossil fuel reduction)

- Set & adopt targets
  - Progressive
  - Aggressive
- Recognizes that current and emerging technology and behavior changes can not take us all the way to net zero
- Allows 20% renewable energy or carbon credit purchase
- Analogous to church indulgencies achieves nothing – <u>invest in technology &</u> <u>research</u>

Now	50%
2010	60%
2015	70%
2020	80%
2025	90%
2030	CN

The Carbon Neutral Myth Offset Indulgences for your Climate Sins

Carbon Trade Wate



## Lessons Learned – political

## Longer term undertaking – LCC approach

- Packard Matrix
- Institutional challenges to be overcome;
  - Short term focus
  - Capital vs operating \$
  - Limited O&M funding





## Lessons Learned – Political

- Technical targets could be voluntary?
- •HOT AIR..... By Jeffery Simpson
  - Need to legislate;
    - Increasingly lower targets (2030 Challenge)
      Increasingly higher penalties (AB gov @ \$15)
  - Provide framework for market forces to drive;
     Transformation needed LEED (City, UofC)
     Technology further Europe





# **Thank You**



