

# STEEL BEAM BRIDGE DESIGN TO THE EUROCODES



Hazrina Mansor, Dr. Structural and Material Engineering, Faculty of Civil Engineering, Universiti Teknologi Mara, UiTM Shah Alam Selangor.



### PRESENTATION OUTLINE

- Lecture 1: Steel bridge design rule
- Lecture 2: Structural Resistance of Steel Beam Bridge
- Lecture 3: Stiffener design
- Lecture 4: Connection design



#### LECTURE 1 (4.00-4.30): STEEL BRIDGE DESIGN RULE

- GENERAL
- BASIS OF DESIGN
- PROPERTIES OF MATERIALS
- CLASSIFICATION OF CROSS SECTIONS
- SECTION PROPERTIES



### LECTURE 1 (4.00-4.30): STEEL BRIDGE DESIGN RULE: GENERAL

- This presentation only covers verification of beam elements and their connections, restraints and attachments.
- The design of trusses, box girders and Plate girders with longitudinal stiffeners are outside the scope of this presentation.
- The simplified procedures for the design of steelwork components, assemblies and connections are based on rules in EN 1993-2.



#### LECTURE 1 (4.00-4.30): STEEL BRIDGE DESIGN RULE : GENERAL

 Some Part on this presentation, refers to general rules in EN 1993-1-1 and to various other Parts, such as EN 1993-1-8 (for connection design).



#### LECTURE 1 (4.00-4.30): STEEL BRIDGE DESIGN RULE : GENERAL

# Fatigue design

 If a stress or range of stress is applied repetitively to an element of a structure, it may fail prematurely by fatigue at a stress below (sometimes well below) its static strength. UNIVERSITI TEKNOLOGI MARA



#### LECTURE 1 (4.00-4.30): STEEL BRIDGE DESIGN RULE : GENERAL

### Fatigue design





#### LECTURE 1 (4.00-4.30): STEEL BRIDGE DESIGN RULE: GENERAL

# Fatigue design

 The loading to be considered in fatigue design is set out in EN 1991-2 and the rules for calculating fatigue resistance and verifying its adequacy are given in EN 1993-1-9. **UNIVERSITI TEKNOLOGI MARA** 

#### LECTURE 1 (4.00-4.30): STEEL BRIDGE DESIGN RULE: GENERAL

#### Symbols

Some of the main variables used in the design of steelwork are:

Bending moment	A	Area
Axial force	Ι	Second moment of area
Shear force	W	Section modulus
Torsional moment	$\overline{\lambda}$	Normalized slenderness
Force	χ	Reduction factor (for buckling)
f the main subscripts are		
_		
design effect	b	buckling
design resistance	cr	critical
characteristic resistance	ор	out of plane
elastic	с	related to cross section
plastic	y, z	related to y-y or z-z axis
	Bending moment Axial force Shear force Torsional moment Force f the main subscripts are design effect design resistance characteristic resistance elastic plastic	Bending moment $A$ Axial force $I$ Shear force $W$ Torsional moment $\overline{\lambda}$ Force $\chi$ f the main subscripts are $\chi$ design effect $b$ design resistance $cr$ characteristic resistance $op$ elastic $c$ plastic $y, z$

#### Thus:

 $N_{\rm Ed}$  is the design value of axial force (an effect)

 $N_{c,Rd}$  is the design resistance of the cross section



#### LECTURE 1 (4.00-4.30): STEEL BRIDGE DESIGN RULE : GENERAL

x - along the member





## Ultimate limit state (ULS)

 All beams should be designed to provide adequate strength to resist the design effects of the actions, using partial factors that are appropriate to the ULS.



# Ultimate limit state (ULS)

- Material strength.
- Limitations on shape on account of local buckling of individual elements (i.e. webs and flanges).
- Moment resistance of cross sections.



# Ultimate limit state (ULS)

- Effective sections (reductions for compression buckling and holes).
- Lateral torsional buckling.
- Web buckling (governed by depth to thickness ratio of web and panel size).
- Combined bending and shear effects.



## Serviceability limit state (SLS)

 Beams should also be designed to ensure that no yielding or permanent deformation takes place under the (lower) design effects that are appropriate to SLS.



# Serviceability limit state (SLS)

 When the beam has been designed at the ULS as a class 3 or class 4 section, the resistance is based on an essentially elastic behaviour; the requirements at the SLS are automatically satisfied and no further checks need be made.



# Serviceability limit state (SLS)

 When the beam has been designed at the ULS as a class 1 or class 2 section, utilising the plastic moment capacity, it is quite possible that yielding could occur in extreme fibres under the SLS characteristic loading.



## Serviceability limit state (SLS)



Figure 5.1 Bending stresses and strains in a class 1 or class 2 cross section designed to plastic resistance



# Serviceability limit state (SLS)

 Beams of class 1 or 2 section must therefore be checked at the SLS, but in that case a linear elastic stress distribution must be used, i.e. the beams must be treated in the same manner as class 3 beams.



#### Table 2.1 Partial factors ym

Partial factor on:		ULS	SLS
Resistance of cross sections	γмо	1.00	
Resistance of members to instability	γ <sub>м1</sub>	1.10	
Resistance of joints	γ <sub>м2</sub>	1.25	
Slip resistance (Category C joints)	γмз	1.25	
Slip resistance (Category B joints)	$\gamma_{\rm M3,ser}$		1.10
Nominal stresses due to SLS characteristic loads	$\gamma_{\rm M,ser}$		1.00



### LECTURE 1 (4.00-4.30): STEEL BRIDGE DESIGN RULE :PROPERTIES OF MATERIALS

# Yield strength

 The yield strength of steel manufactured in accordance with BS EN 10025 should be taken as:

Grade S275 *f*y = 275 N/mm2 Grade S355 *f*y = 355 N/mm2

In most cases, grade S355 should be used, for economy.



### LECTURE 1 (4.00-4.30): STEEL BRIDGE DESIGN RULE :PROPERTIES OF MATERIALS

## Mechanical properties of steel

- Modulus of elasticity, *E* = 210 000 N/mm2
- Shear Modulus *G* = 80 000 N/mm2
- Poisson's ratio V = 0.3
- Coefficient of thermal expansion  $\alpha = 12 \times 10^{-6}/{}^{\circ}C$



#### LECTURE 1 (4.00-4.30): STEEL BRIDGE DESIGN RULE :GLOBAL ANALYSIS FOR LOAD EFFECTS

## General

 Elastic global analysis should be used to determine the load effects (internal forces and bending moments).

## **Section properties**

Gross section properties should be used in global analysis.



### LECTURE 1 (4.00-4.30): STEEL BRIDGE DESIGN RULE :CLASSIFICATION OF CROSS-SECTIONS

- The capacity of a section can be limited by local buckling of the flange or web in compression.
- The classification of a section depends on the width to thickness ratio of elements of the cross-section.



### LECTURE 1 (4.00-4.30): STEEL BRIDGE DESIGN RULE :CLASSIFICATION OF CROSS-SECTIONS



Note: Strictly, the outstand width and the depth of the web should be measured clear of root fillets for rolled sections and welds for fabricated sections, but for simplicity the fillets or welds may be ignored.

Figure 5.2 Parameters relevant to classification of cross section



#### LECTURE 1 (4.00-4.30): STEEL BRIDGE DESIGN RULE :CLASSIFICATION OF CROSS-SECTIONS

#### Flange outstand in compression

	Class 1	Class 2	Class 3
Grade S275	(see text)	$c/t \leq 9.2$	$c/t \le 12.9$
Grade S355	(see text)	$c/t \le 8.1$	$c/t \le 11.3$

#### Webs - depth in compression

	Class 1	Class 2	Class 3
Grade S275	(see text)	$d_{ m wc}/t \le 35$	$d_{ m wc}/t \le 52$
Grade S355	(see text)	$d_{\rm wc}/t \le 32$	$d_{ m wc}/t \le 45$

NOTES:

(1) These limits for webs are slightly more conservative than the detailed provisions in EN 1993-1 1.

(2) The class 3 limits for webs do not apply for cases where the web is wholly in compression (which can happen with axial compression) - more onerous limits of 39 (S275) or 34 (S355) should be used in such cases (instead of 52 and 45).

#### UNIVERSITI TEKNOLOGI MARA







- PROPOSED SUITABLE UB SECTION
- RESISTANCE OF BEAM CROSS SECTIONS
- BUCKLING RESISTANCE OF BEAMS LATERAL
   TORSIONAL BUCKLING
- SLENDERNESS OF BEAMS
- RESTRAINTS TO BEAMS



A simply supported twin Universal Beam (UB) footbridge bridge of 20 metres span is used for pedestrian and cycle traffic. Design the UB section at the center of the span, for grade S355 steel.











### **Step 1: Design actions**

#### Permanent actions

According to EN 1991-1-1, the self weight (S/W) of the structural elements and the deck surface is classified as permanent fixed action.

<u>Self weight of structural members</u>

S/W of the steel members is calculated from the nominal dimensions of the members (The cross-section area, A) and the characteristic value for the density of steel. The densities of structural materials are given in standards EN 1991-1-1.



### **Step 1: Design actions**

- <u>Self weight of structural members</u>
- □ For structural steel, the density is given within the range (77 kN/m<sup>3</sup>) (78.5 kN/m<sup>3</sup>).
- $\Box$  In this work, we use 78.5 kN/m<sup>3</sup>

### Deck cover

The deck is covered with a wooden boards of thickness 5 cm. According to EN 1991-1-1, Appendix A, the characteristic design value for the density for timber strength class C40 be (5 kN/m<sup>3</sup>).



### **Step 1: Design actions**

Variable actions

<u>Thermal loads</u>

The thermal actions are defined as a uniform temperature change over the whole cross-section of the bridge as well as temperature gradient across the height of the bridge deck. Due to small deck height, only the uniform temperature gradient of ΔT = 20<sup>0</sup> is considered here.



### **Step 1: Design actions**

- <u>Traffic loads</u>
- According to EN 1991-2, Traffic load is considered as variable actions or accidental actions. For normal use, traffic load is considered as variable loads.
- The characteristic value of uniformly distributed load is in general defined as qfk = 5 kN/m<sup>2</sup>



### **Step 1: Design actions**

- <u>Traffic loads</u>
- According to EN 1991-2, Traffic load is considered as variable actions or accidental actions. For normal use, traffic load is considered as variable loads.
- □ The characteristic value of uniformly distributed load is in general defined as  $qfk = 5 \text{ kN/m}^2$
- □ The characteristic value of the concentrated load is equal to  $Q_{fwk}$ =10kN



### **Step 1: Design actions**

- <u>Accidental loads</u>
- The accidental presence of the vehicle on the footbridge is considered as the accidental action if the access of such a vehicle on the footbridge is not restricted by a permanent device.
- If not specified otherwise the accidental load Q<sub>A</sub> of this vehicle is represented by two-axel loading pattern with axle loads 80 kN and 40 kN.
- The horizontal force acting on the footbridge is either 10% of the total load corresponding to the distributed force *qw or* 60% of the total weight of the service vehicle QA and acting simultaneously with corresponding vertical load.
nanent device. If ted by two-axial

corresponding to Q<sub>A</sub> and is acting





#### LECTURE 2 (8.30-9.30): STRUCTURAL RESISTANCE OF STEEL BEAM BRIDGE

### **Step 1: Design actions**

<u>Accidental loads</u>





### **Step 1: Design actions**

Symbol	Description of the action	Type of action
G	Self weight of the structure	permanent
Р	Deck cover	permanent
5	Show load	variable
W	Wind load	torioble
Т	Thermal loads	variable
q	Uniform traffic load	variable
Q	Concentrated traffic load	variable
	I and due to applicantel processo of a	
£А	vehicle on the feetbridge	



# **Step 1: Design actions**

### **Combination of actions for the ULS verification**

- □  $E_d < R_d$  as required by (EN 1990). In which  $E_d$  is the design value (internal forces and moments), and  $R_d$  (design value of the corresponding resistance)
- Combination of action according to EN 1990

$$\sum_{j\geq 1} \gamma_{G,j} G_{k,j} + \gamma_{Q,1} Q_{k,1} + \sum_{i>1} \gamma_{Q,i} \psi_{Q,i} Q_{k,i}$$
  
Characteristic values of permanent loads, with corresponding partial factors  
Characteristic values of variable loads, with corresponding partial factors (traffic loads, wind, temperature) in which  $Q_{k,i}$  is the leading variable variable loads.



### **Step 1: Design actions**

### **Combination of actions for the ULS verification**

Possible combination of actions are;

- □ Leading Q: 1.35 Gk + 1.35 Q + 0W + 0.5 T+ 0q+ 0S
- □ Leading q: 1.35 Gk + 1.35 q + 0W + 0 T+ 0Q+ 0S
- Leading W: 1.35 Gk + 1.5 W + 0S + 0 T+ 0q+ 0Q

Leading T: 1.35 Gk + 1.5 W + 0S + 0 T+ 0q+ 0Q



# **Step 1: Design actions**

### Combination of actions for the ULS verification for accidental actions

Possible combination of actions are;

```
□ Leading Q: Gk + Q<sub>A</sub> + 0Q + 0.5 T+ 0q
```

**Leading** q: Gk +  $Q_A$  + 0.4 q + 0.5 T+ 0Q

Leading T:  $Gk + Q_A + 0Q + 0.5 T + 0q$ 



## **Step 1: Design actions**

### Let's calculate the design action for the proposed bridge

Noted: the effect of wind and snow actions are ignored.

Proposed rolled UB section: 914 x 419 x 388 kg/m

#### Permanent actions

□ Self-weight of the beam = 78.5 kN/m<sup>3</sup>x 0.0494 m<sup>2</sup> = <u>3.88 kN/m</u>

Deck cover = 3m x 0.05m x 78.5 kN/m<sup>3</sup> = <u>11.8 kN/m</u>

#### Variable actions

Uniform Traffic loads =5 kN/m<sup>2</sup> x 2m =<u>10 kN/m</u>



## **Step 1: Design actions**

### Let's calculate the design action for the proposed bridge

Choose load combination of action is;

 $\Box$  Leading uniform traffic load (q): 1.35 Gk + 1.35 q + 0// + 0 T+ 0 Q+ 05

:1.35 (3.88+7.85) + 1.35 (10)

: <u>29 kN/m</u>



### **Step 1: Design actions**

#### Let's calculate the design action for the proposed bridge





### **Step 1: Design actions**

Let's calculate the design action for the proposed bridge

Design max Bending moment, Med =2900 kNm

Design Shear force, Ved=290 kN



## **Step 2: Classification of cross-section**

- □ c/tw =37.4 < 45 (class 3)
- □ c/tf =4.79 < 8.1 (class 2)
- Thus the section is class 3.

# Step 3: Resistance of beam cross-section

- Bending resistance
- Shear resistance



# Step 3: Resistance of beam cross-section

#### 6.2.5 Bending moment

(1)P The design value of the bending moment  $M_{Ed}$  at each cross-section shall satisfy: (AC1

$$\frac{M_{Ed}}{M_{c Rd}} \le 1.0 \tag{6.12}$$

where  $M_{c,Rd}$  is determined considering fastener holes, see (4) to (6).

$$M_{e,Rd} = M_{el,Rd} = \frac{W_{el}f_y}{\gamma_{M0}}$$
 for class 3 cross sections



### **Step 3: Resistance of beam cross-section**

#### **Bending resistance**

The design bending resistance at ULS is given by:

$$M_{c,Rd} = M_{pl,Rd} = \frac{W_{pl}f_y}{\gamma_{M0}}$$
 for class 1 or class 2 cross sections

$$M_{e,Rd} = M_{el,Rd} = \frac{W_{el}f_y}{\gamma_{M0}}$$
 for class 3 cross sections

$$M_{e,Rd} = \frac{W_{eff} f_y}{\gamma_{M0}}$$
 for class 4 cross sections



## **Step 2: Classification of cross-section**

- □ c/tw =37.4 < 45 (class 3)
- □ c/tf =4.79 < 8.1 (class 2)

Thus the section is class 3.

Step 3: Resistance of beam cross-section (bending resistance)

$$\square M_{ed}/M_{crd} = 2900 \text{ kNm}/5538 \text{ kNm}$$
  
= 0.52<1.0 ok



# Step 3: Resistance of beam cross-section (Shear resistance)

#### Shear resistance

Generally, the design shear resistance of a web is given by:

$$V_{b,Rd} = V_{bw,Rd} + V_{bf,Rd}$$
 but  $\leq \frac{f_{yw}h_wt_w}{\sqrt{3} \gamma_{M1}}$ 

where:

$V_{\rm bw,Rd}$	is the contribution from the web
$V_{\rm bf,Rd}$	is the contribution from the flange
$h_{\rm w}$	is the height of the web (the distance between the flanges)
t <sub>w</sub>	is the thickness of the web
$f_{\rm vw}$	is the yield strength of the web



51

#### LECTURE 2 (8.30-9.30): STRUCTURAL RESISTANCE OF STEEL BEAM BRIDGE

# Step 3: Resistance of beam cross-section (Shear resistance)

#### Contribution from the web

The contribution from the web depends on its slenderness and thus on its tendency to buckle under shear loading. Usually in bridges transverse web stiffeners are provided at supports and at intermediate positions (intermediate transverse stiffeners are very effective in increasing the shear buckling resistance of a slender web by restricting the size of the rectangular panels within which buckling occurs - see further discussion below).

The non-dimensional slenderness of a web panel with intermediate transverse stiffeners is given by:

$$\overline{\lambda}_{\rm w} = \frac{h_{\rm w}}{34.4t_{\rm w}\sqrt{k_{\tau}}}$$
 for S275 and  $\overline{\lambda}_{\rm w} = \frac{h_{\rm w}}{30.3t_{\rm w}\sqrt{k_{\tau}}}$  for S355 steel (7.5)



# Step 3: Resistance of beam cross-section (Shear resistance)

The parameter  $k_{\tau}$  is a buckling coefficient that depends on the aspect ratio of the panel - i.e. the ratio of its depth hw to its width (between transverse stiffeners). The value of  $k_{\tau}$  is given by the following expressions and by Figure 7.1:

 $k_{\tau} = 5.34 + 4.00 (h_{\rm w}/a)^2$  when  $a/h_{\rm w} \ge 1$ 

 $k_{\tau} = 4.00 + 5.34 (h_w/a)^2$  when  $a/h_w < 1$ 

Clearly, from the Figure, reducing the panel width (by reducing the spacing of intermediate transverse web stiffeners) can increase the buckling coefficient significantly. In multi-girder construction the aspect ratio is typically between 1.5 and 1.0 for regions of high shear. In ladder decks, the aspect ration may be 2.0 or more, although the web is thicker and thus its slenderness is lower.

#### UNIVERSITI TEKNOLOGI MARA



#### LECTURE 2 (8.30-9.30): STRUCTURAL RESISTANCE OF STEEL BEAM BRIDGE

# Step 3: Resistance of beam cross-section (Shear resistance)



**Figure 7.1** Shear buckling coefficient  $k_{\tau}$ 



# Step 3: Resistance of beam cross-section (Shear resistance)

From the slenderness, a reduction factor  $\chi_w$  is derived, according to expression (7.6) and Figure 7.2.

 $\chi_{w} = 1.0 \text{ for } \overline{\lambda_{w}} \le 0.83$   $\chi_{w} = 0.83 / \overline{\lambda_{w}} \text{ for } 0.83 < \overline{\lambda_{w}} \le 1.08$  $\chi_{w} = 1.37 / (0.7 + \overline{\lambda_{w}}) \text{ for } 1.08 < \overline{\lambda_{w}}$ (7.6)

These expressions apply where there is continuity of the web either side of the panel - at an end support there should be a 'rigid end post' (at the support the web continues beyond the centreline of the bearing and an endplate stiffener is provided - see Figure 7.3).



# Step 3: Resistance of beam cross-section (Shear



igure 7.2 Shear reduction factor  $\chi_w$ 



# Step 3: Resistance of beam cross-section (Shear resistance)

The contribution of the web is then given by:

$$V_{\rm bw,Rd} = \chi_{\rm w} \frac{f_{\rm yw} h_{\rm w} t_{\rm w}}{\sqrt{3} \gamma_{\rm M1}}$$
(7.7)



Figure 7.3 End post detail at an end support



# Step 3: Resistance of beam cross-section (Shear resistance)

#### Contribution from the flange

The contribution from the flange depends on the restraint from the local bending resistance of the flange to the tensile band that develops across the web panel. The value is given by the following expression in EN 1993-1-5:

$$V_{\rm bf,Rd} = \frac{b_{\rm f} t_{\rm f}^2 f_{\rm yf}}{c \,\gamma_{\rm M1}} \left( 1 - \left(\frac{M_{\rm Ed}}{M_{\rm f,Rd}}\right)^2 \right)$$
(7.8)

Where  $b_{\rm f}$  and  $t_{\rm f}$  are the width and thickness of the flange (use the values for the smaller flange), c is a factor related to the panel width a,  $M_{\rm Ed}$  is the coexisting design moment acting on the section and  $M_{\rm f,Rd}$  is the bending resistance of a cross section comprising the flanges only.



### Step 3: Resistance of beam cross-section (Shear resistance)



Stresses on square element

Elastic buckling of web

panel



Development of tension field

#### **Figure 7.4** Web Panels in shear: buckling and tension field action



# Step 3: Resistance of beam cross-section (Shear resistance)





# Step 3: Resistance of beam cross-section (Shear

resistance)



Figure 2. Vertical web buckling.



# Step 3: Resistance of beam cross-section (Shear resistance)

□ 
$$V_{bwrd}$$
 = 3507 kN  
□  $V_{bfrd}$  = 527.5 kN  
□  $V_{brd}$  =3507 kN + 527.5 kN =4034.5 kN  
□  $\frac{\eta F_{yw}(h_w)(t_w)}{\sqrt{3\gamma_m}}$  = 4208.57 kN

Vbrd < 
$$\frac{\eta F_{yw}(hw)(tw)}{\sqrt{3\gamma_m}}$$
 thus, ok



#### Combined bending and shear

Step 3: Resistance of beam crosssection (Combined Bending and Shear resistance)

Under combined bending and shear, the values of the maximum moment within the panel,  $M_{\rm Ed}$ , and the maximum shear force in the panel,  $V_{\rm Ed}$ , should lie within the boundary shown on Figure 7.5. [NB the values to be considered are not necessarily at the same cross section, they are just the maximum values within the panel length.]



Figure 7.5 Limiting interaction between moment and shear resistances



# Step 3: Resistance of beam cross-section (Combined Bending and Shear resistance)

In the diagram:

- $V_{b,Rd}$  is the shear resistance of the panel (=  $V_{bw,Rd} + V_{bf,Rd}$ )
- $V_{\rm bw,Rd}$  is the contribution to the shear resistance from the web
- $V_{\rm bf,Rd}$  is the contribution to the shear resistance from the flange
- $M_{\rm pl,Rd}$  is the plastic bending resistance of the cross section (calculated regardless of the classification of the cross section)
- $M_{el,Rd}$  is the elastic bending resistance of the cross section
- $M_{f,Rd}$  is the bending resistance of the cross section ignoring the contribution from the web, and is calculated as:



# Step 3: Resistance of beam cross-section (Combined Bending and Shear resistance)



Figure 7.6 Simplified interaction diagram

Generally, for either interaction diagram, it may be noted that:

- Bending moments up to the value of  $M_{pl,Rd}$  or  $M_{el,Rd}$  (as appropriate) can be resisted by any beam if the shear force  $V_{Ed}$  does not exceed  $0.5V_{bw,Rd}$ .
- Shear forces up to the value of V<sub>bw,Rd</sub> can be resisted by any beam if the bending moment M<sub>Ed</sub> does not exceed M<sub>f,Rd</sub>.



# Step 4: Buckling Resistance of beam lateral torsional buckling.



Figure 8.1 Lateral torsional buckling of a simply supported beam



# Step 4: Buckling Resistance of beam lateral torsional buckling.





# Step 4: Buckling Resistance of beam lateral torsional buckling.

#### 6.3.2.1 Buckling resistance

(1) A laterally unrestrained member subject to major axis bending should be verified against lateraltorsional buckling as follows:

$$\frac{M_{Ed}}{M_{b,Rd}} \le 1.0$$
(6.54)

where  $M_{Ed}$  is the design value of the moment

M<sub>b,Rd</sub> is the design buckling resistance moment.



# Step 4: Buckling Resistance of beam lateral torsional buckling.

#### **Buckling resistance**

The design buckling resistance  $M_{b,Rd}$  is then determined simply by:

$$M_{\rm b,Rd} = \chi_{\rm LT} \frac{W_{\rm y} f_{\rm y}}{\gamma_{\rm M1}}$$
(8.3)

Where

 $W_y$  is the section modulus appropriate to the section class

Note that the  $\gamma_{M1}$  factor is applied, rather than the  $\gamma_{M0}$  factor that is applied in determining the bending resistance of the cross section,  $M_{c,Rd}$  (see Section 7.1).



# Step 4: Buckling Resistance of beam lateral torsional buckling.

 $W_y$  is the appropriate section modulus as follows:

- - $W_y = W_{pl,y}$ for Class 1 or 2 cross-sections- $W_y = W_{el,y}$ for Class 3 cross-sections
- W<sub>y</sub> = W<sub>eff,y</sub> for Class 4 cross-sections

 $\chi_{LT}$  is the reduction factor for lateral-torsional buckling.



# Step 4: Buckling Resistance of beam lateral torsional buckling.

#### Non-dimensional slenderness

The non-dimensional slenderness parameter  $\overline{\lambda}_{LT}$  is given by:

$$\overline{\lambda}_{\rm LT} = \sqrt{\frac{W_{\rm y} f_{\rm y}}{M_{\rm cr}}}$$
(8.1)

where

 $W_y$ is the section modulus appropriate to the section class (see Section 7.1) $f_y$ is the yield strength of the compression flange $M_{cr}$ is the elastic critical moment for lateral torsional buckling



# Step 4: Buckling Resistance of beam lateral torsional buckling.

#### **Reduction factor**

The reduction factor for lateral torsional buckling is given by expression (8.2) and by Figure 8.2.

$$\chi_{\rm LT} = \frac{1}{\phi_{\rm LT} + \sqrt{\phi_{\rm LT}^2 - \overline{\lambda}_{\rm LT}^2}} \text{ but } \chi_{\rm LT} \le 1.0$$
(8.2)

Where  $\phi_{LT} = 0.5 \left[ 1 + \alpha_{LT} \left( \overline{\lambda}_{LT} - 0.2 \right) + \overline{\lambda}_{LT}^2 \right]$  and  $\alpha_{LT}$  is an imperfection parameter.



# Step 4: Buckling Resistance of beam lateral torsional buckling.




# Step 4: Buckling Resistance of beam lateral torsional buckling.

- $\Box \frac{M_{ed}}{M_{brd}} < 1.0$  $\Box M_{ed} = 2900 \text{ kNm}$  $\Box M_{brd} = 177.3 \text{ kNm} \text{ (without any bracing)}$
- $\Box \frac{2900}{177.3} < 1.0 \text{ FAILED !!}$



74

### LECTURE 2 (8.30-9.30): STRUCTURAL RESISTANCE OF STEEL BEAM BRIDGE

# Step 4: Buckling Resistance of beam lateral torsional buckling (slenderness of beam)

□ Beam segments between the effective restraints

Table 9.1	$\overline{\lambda}_{LT}$	for beams	between	effective	restraints
	· • L/ 1	ioi sounio		011000110	

	S 275	S 355
Class 1 and 2	$\overline{\lambda}_{LT} = \frac{L/i_{z,f}}{87}$	$\overline{\lambda}_{LT} = \frac{L/i_{z,f}}{76}$
Class 3	$\overline{\lambda}_{\rm LT} = \frac{L/i_{\rm z,f}}{100}$	$\overline{\lambda}_{LT} = \frac{L/i_{z,f}}{88}$

- L is the distance between points of restraint of the compression flange
- $i_{z,f}$  is the radius of gyration about the z-z axis of the gross cross-section of the compression flange plus one third of the height of the web in compression.
- \_\_\_\_\_



# Step 4: Buckling Resistance of beam lateral torsional buckling (slenderness of beam)

Beam without intermediate restraints

$$\overline{\lambda}_{\rm LT} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{C_1}} V \frac{\lambda_z}{\lambda_1} \sqrt{\beta_{\rm w}}$$

 $C_1$  is a parameter dependent on the shape of the bending moment diagram, such that the value of  $M_{cr}$  for the segment with the actual bending moment diagram is equal to  $C_1$  times that for the same segment with a uniform bending moment along its full length. Values

of 
$$\frac{1}{\sqrt{C_1}}$$
 are given in Table 9.3.

(Conservatively,  $C_1$  may be taken as 1.0.).



# Step 4: Buckling Resistance of beam lateral torsional buckling (slenderness of beam)

### Beam without Intermediate restraints

V

is a parameter related to the slenderness and section geometry, and is given by Table 9.2, which is derived from the following expression:

$$V = \left[ \left\{ 4i(1-i) + 0.05\lambda_{\rm F}^2 + \psi_i^2 \right\}^{0.5} + \psi_i^2 \right]^{-0.5}, \text{ in which}$$

 $\psi_i = 2i - 1 \quad \text{when} \ \ I_{z,c} < I_{z,t} \quad \text{and} \ \ \psi_i = 0.8 \big(2i - 1\big) \quad \text{when} \ \ I_{z,c} \geq I_{z,t} \quad .$ 

For these expressions and for the Table, the following two parameters are required:

$$i = \frac{I_{z,c}}{I_{z,c} + I_{z,t}}$$
$$\lambda_{F} = \frac{L}{i_{z}} \cdot \frac{t_{f}}{h}$$

h

L

λ,

 $i_z$ 

 $\beta_{w}$ 

λ



### LECTURE 2 (8.30-9.30): STRUCTURAL RESISTANCE OF STEEL BEAM BRIDGE

**Step 4: Buckling Resistance of** beam lateral torsional buckling (slenderness of beam)

Beam without Intermediate restraints

- is the depth of the cross section;
- is the span of the beam
- is the mean thickness of the two flanges of the section tf
- are the second moments of area of the compression and tension  $I_{zc}$ ,  $I_{zt}$ flanges, respectively, about their z-z axes.

$$=\frac{L}{i_z}$$

is the radius of gyration of the section about the minor axis

$$= \frac{W_y}{W_{pl,y}}$$

is the modulus used to calculate  $M_{b,Rd}$  $W_{v}$ For Class 1 and 2 sections  $W_{\rm v} = W_{\rm plv}$ For Class 3 sections  $W_{\rm v} = W_{\rm elv}$  $W_{\rm v} = W_{\rm effv}$ For Class 4 sections in which *f*, is the yield strength of the steel

$$= \lambda \sqrt{\frac{f_y}{f_y}}$$
 in which  $f_y$  is the yield strength of the stee  
( $\lambda_1 = 87$  for S275 and  $\lambda_1 = 76$  for S355 steel)



## Step 4: Buckling Resistance of beam lateral torsional buckling (slenderness of beam)



78



### **Step 5: Restrain to beam**

To carry the forces associated with the restraining function, the bracing system or any individual restraint should be capable of resisting a force  $F_R$  plus the effects of any wind or other lateral forces. The force  $F_R$  is given by:

$$F_{\rm R} = \frac{\Sigma N_{\rm Ed}}{80} \tag{10.1}$$

where:

 $\Sigma N_{Ed}$  is the sum of the greatest forces in the compression flanges of the two beams connected by the bracing.



### **Step 5: Restrain to beam**



Figure 10.1 Buckling mode for paired beams - torsional restraints

If the effects of such torsional restraints are to be considered in a student design, a simplified method of determining a value of non-dimensional slenderness is given in Section 9.3 above.



## Step 4: Buckling Resistance of beam lateral torsional buckling (Restraint to beam)

**D**Effective Intermediate Lateral restraints





## Step 4: Buckling Resistance of beam lateral torsional buckling (slenderness of beam)





## Step 4: Buckling Resistance of beam lateral torsional buckling (slenderness of beam)





# Step 4: Buckling Resistance of beam lateral torsional buckling.

- $\Box \frac{M_{ed}}{M_{brd}} < 1.0$  $\Box M_{ed} = 2900 \text{ kNm}$  $\Box M_{brd} = 5538 \text{ kNm} \text{ (Provide bracing at 1.2m)}$
- $\Box \frac{2900}{5538} < 1.0 \text{ PASSED!!}$

UNIVERSITI TEKNOLOGI MARA



#### LECTURE 3 (9.30-10.30): STIFFENER DESIGN

### STIFFENER DESIGN



### LECTURE 3 (9.00-9.30): STIFFENER DESIGN

- Step 5: Stiffener design.
- Intermediate transverse web stiffeners
- Bearing stiffeners at supports



### LECTURE 3 (9.00-9.30): STIFFENER DESIGN

- Step 5: Stiffener design.
- Intermediate transverse web stiffeners





### LECTURE 3 (9.00-9.30): STIFFENER DESIGN

- Step 5: Stiffener design.
- Intermediate transverse web stiffeners





### • Step 5: Stiffener design.

### Intermediate transverse web stiffeners

#### Geometric proportions

Flat plates welded to the face of the web should be used to create intermediate transverse web stiffeners. The proportions of the plate outstand should be limited as follows:

$$\frac{h_{\rm o}}{t_{\rm s}} \le 12.0$$
 for S275 and  $\frac{h_{\rm o}}{t_{\rm s}} \le 10.5$  for S355 (11.1)

Where  $h_0$  is the height of the outstand and  $t_5$  is the thickness of the stiffener.

Stiffeners outstands are typically just less than the outstand of the narrower flange, though the required size depends on criteria for stiffness and strength. 'Rounded dimensions' (e.g. 150×15, 200×20, 250×25) are normally specified.



• Step 5: Stiffener design.

□ Intermediate transverse web stiffeners





### • Step 5: Stiffener design. Cl 9.3.3, EC3-1-5

### Intermediate transverse web stiffeners

The force  $N_{s,Rd}$  to be resisted by a stiffener is given by

$$N_{\rm s,Rd} = V_{\rm Ed} - \chi_{\rm w} h_{\rm w} t \frac{\frac{f_{\rm yw}}{\sqrt{3}}}{\gamma_{\rm M1}}$$
(5.176)

Note,  $\chi_w$  is calculated for the web panel between adjacent stiffeners assuming the stiffener under consideration is removed. In the case of variable shear, then the check is performed at a distance  $0.5h_w$  from the edge of the pane with the larger shear force.

To determine the buckling resistance of the stiffener a portion of the web may taken into account (Rockey *et al.*, 1981). A section of the web in length equal to  $15\varepsilon t$  either side of the stiffener may be considered (cl 9.1, EN 1933-1-3) (Fig. 5.23)

**UNIVERSITI TEKNOLOGI MARA** 



### **Design of Stiffeners**

### Cl 9.3.3, EC3-1-5

N.W.

λ.,,

#### **Intermediate Transverse Stiffeners**



FIGURE 5.23 Stiffener geometry



• Step 5: Stiffener design.

Intermediate transverse web stiffeners

#### UNIVERSITI TEKNOLOGI MARA







### LECTURE 4 (11.00-12.00): CONNECTION DESIGN

- WELDED CONNECTIONS
- BOLTED CONNECTIONS